EXHIBIT "B"

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA (RENO)

Case No. 13-50461-BTB

IN RE:

. Chapter 7

CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL MARINO

and VALERIE MARGARET MARINO,. 300 Booth Street

Reno, NV 89509

Debtors.

Monday, June 20, 2016

3:04 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF JUDGES RULING RE: DOC# 27 MOTION TO REOPEN CHAPTER 7 CASE UNDER 11 U.S.C. 350 AND F.R.B.P. 5010 TO HOLD CREDITORS IN CONTEMPT AND FOR SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATION OF THE DISCHARGE INJUNCTION 11 U.S.C. 524(a)(2). FEE AMOUNT 260., MOTION FOR CONTEMPT, MOTION FOR SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATION OF THE DISCHARGE INJUNCTION FILED BY CHRISTOPHER PATRICK BURKE ON BEHALF OF CHRISTOPHER MICHAEL MARINO, VALERIE MARGARET MARINO

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRUCE T. BEESLEY UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors:

CHRISTOPHER PATRICK BURKE, ESQ.

702 Plumas Street Reno, NV 89509 (775) 333-9277

For Ocwen Loan

Servicing, LLC: Wright, Finlay & Zak

By: SEAN N. PAYNE, ESO. 7785 West Sahara Avenue

Suite 200

Las Vegas, NV 89117 (702) 475-7964

Audio Operator: Stacie C. Burney, ECR

Transcription Company:

Access Transcripts, LLC 10110 Youngwood Lane Fishers, IN 46038 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

(Proceedings commence at 3:04 p.m.)

THE COURT: Christopher and Valerie Marino, Case Number 13-50461.

Appearances, please.

1

2

4

5

9

11

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BURKE: (Telephonically) Christopher Burke for 6 the Marinos.

MR. PAYNE: (Telephonically) Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sean Payne for the creditor.

THE COURT: Thank you. I apologize this took me so long to get to this, but this was the best briefing I've seen on one of these hearings, and the best representations, 12 actually, so it took a little more time than particularly unopposed ones. But that's my excuse for taking so long to do this.

But let me tell you what I've looked through. I've gone -- in the process of preparing for this and I went back and read through all of the pleadings, initially, and all the oppositions and including the supplemental pleadings that were filed after the hearing. I've looked through all of the exhibits which were admitted in the court. I've gone through the transcript of the proceedings.

I've also looked at each of the cited references for 23 the -- that the defendant used to support the filing of certain -- sending of certain letters. So those include 12 C.F.R. 25 Section 1024.37(c), California Civil Code 2924.9, 12 U.S.C.

2605 and 2609, 12 U.S.C. 2605, California Civil Code 2923.5, 15 U.S.C. 1692(g), California Civil Code 2924 and I think 3 that's -- that is all of them.

In this case what happened, the debtor filed in approximately March of 2013, and was -- I'm sorry, I think --6 yeah, approximately March of 2013; I think it was discharged in June of 2013. And after that began Ocwen began sending notices and calling the debtor. And over the course of time sent the following:

A notice of interest rate change.

A mortgage account statement stating, on Exhibit C, that Ocwen is aware that they had filed bankruptcy. also evident in looking at the proof of service, both with 14 respect to the bankruptcy filing, which was served upon Ocwen, and the notice of discharge, which was served upon Ocwen.

Exhibit D, request evidence on insurance. And on that one, there wasn't any disclaimer.

Exhibit E, notice of lender purchased insurance.

Exhibit F, an escrow analysis.

Exhibit G, a notice that the loan was paid in full, which I'm assuming was an error.

Exhibit H, a mortgage assistance resource letter, 23 with a bankruptcy disclaimer.

Exhibit I, lender-placed insurance was cancelled by 25 mistake, because the loan had not been paid in full. Lender --

4

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

I'm sorry, request for response regarding occupancy of the 2 property.

Exhibit K, privacy changes for consumers.

Exhibit L indicating that Ocwen received a discharge, 5 but the debtor still was occupying the home, instructions to 6 start request for mortgage assistance.

Exhibit M, letter indicating foreclosure action had 8 been initiated.

Exhibit N, past due notice of contract, in which case 10 it's very important you pay the current amount due.

Exhibit O, escrow payment added to the monthly 12 payment.

Exhibit P, notice of -- important notice indicating 14 Ocwen was going to foreclose on 11/22/14 if no contract was 15 entered into.

Exhibit Q, a final notice seeking evidence of 17 insurance.

Exhibit R, notice of default on election to sell. 19 Exhibit S, mortgage assistance resources.

Exhibit T, this is something that had nothing to do with this particular case.

Exhibit U, debtor's validation notice from West 23 Progressive, LLC with full payoff amount.

> Exhibit V, londer's purchased insurance letter. And Exhibit W.

3

4

7

9

11

13

16

18

20

21

22

24

```
1
              I compared the various notices -- who have we lost?
    Who have we kept?
 3
              MR. BURKE: Christopher Burke's still here.
 4
              THE COURT: Mr. Payne? So let's get Mr. Payne back.
 5
              THE CLERK: Yes, sir.
 6
         (Off record from 3:09 p.m. to 3:09 p.m.)
 7
              THE CLERK: Yeah, we're not quite sure what happened.
 8
    Just one moment.
 9
              THE COURT: That's okay.
10
              THE CLERK: Okay. Mr. Burke's on line one.
11
              THE COURT: Mr. Payne?
12
              THE CLERK: Just one moment, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: I'm sorry.
             THE CLERK: I have to verify which line to put him on
14
15
   so I don't hang anybody up.
16
             You should have him, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Mr. Payne?
             MR. PAYNE: I'm here, Your Honor.
18
19
             THE COURT: Sorry, we lost you somehow. We'll try
20 not to do it again.
21
             MR. PAYNE: No problem.
22
             THE COURT: I had finished -- had you -- were you
   still on the line when I'd finished reading -- identifying the
23
   exhibits?
25
             MR. PAYNE: I believe so, Your Honor.
```

1

7

11

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Okay. In addition to looking at the 2 exhibits and looking at the statutes under which certain of the 3 exhibits were sent, I had one of my law clerks go through and 4 mark on those the portions of the letter that was not included in the directions, the statutory directions to send various notices.

And one of the main -- the -- probably the main defense that Ocwen is asserting is that it's required to send those various notices. I actually don't think -- I think if all they sent was what was required by the notice, they would be fine. But in each of those cases, they included additional 12 | language, which indicated that they were trying to collect 13 money from the debtor. In some cases they described themselves as debt collectors, other cases they talked about ways in which the debtor could get into a new restructured mortgage, they did various things. Ocwen's witness testified that they would continue sending those mortgage -- those various kinds of 18 notices until they were asked to stop by the debtor.

The debtor's testimony, which was un-rebutted, was that in response to the approximately 100 telephone calls they got, that they had requested that Ocwen stop, numerous times, and that they had done so.

I took a look at the case of In re Nordlund, which is 494 B.R. 507, it's from the Eastern District of California. It's authored by a judge named McManus, who's a -- was a longtime practitioner in California and also a judge. And what he found was that while the notices, if each one were taken individually, probably are innocuous, but when you have a series of notices over a long period of time, particularly where you have the debtor having received a discharge and at some point the debtor having vacated the house, that there—they cannot really be trying to notify the debtors of certain things, because the debtor's given up the house. They indicated they would abandon the house, they left the house.

Ocwen could not have been doing anything but trying to get the debtor to give them some more money, either for insurance or agree to be responsible for the house that was vacant, even after they had — even after Ocwen had — received stay relief. I think that Ocwen, rather than foreclose on the property, which they could have done approximately six — well, approximately three months after the case was filed, waited two years to foreclose, hoping that if they sent enough letters and gave enough calls, that the debtor would ultimately pay them some money for something. That's all I can think.

They talk about, you know, "if you have filed bankruptcy." Well, they knew the debtor had filed bankruptcy because they got the notice of the bankruptcy filing. They talk about "if you have received a discharge." Well, they knew that the debtor had received a discharge. I don't think ocwen, or any creditor, is allowed, when they knew that the debtor has

filed bankruptcy, and when they know that the debtor has 2 received a discharge, and they knew the debtor has said they're going to surrender the house, has the right to have their computer gen out these various letters, which do comply, at least in some of the provisions, with the various notification 6 statutes, but all of which include language which is not included in those statutes, which, to varying degrees of urgency, want the debtor to undertake a new obligation or pay them money.

Also, the debtor testified that they had received approximately a hundred calls, three -- often as many as three a day, over the period of year, following their discharge. And in each of those cases Ocwen identified as wanting -- trying to collect a debt, trying to get the debtor to pay, and did not set forth any bankruptcy disclaimer. No evidence was produced by Ocwen to rebut that; Ocwen didn't have any records of the phone calls, didn't have a script that Ocwen's individuals were supposed to use, that went completely un-rebutted.

Because of that, I find, by clear and convincing 20 evidence, that Ocwen has violated the discharge injunction.

The issue of damages, I -- as I understand the law of the Ninth Circuit, I do not have authority to impose punitive damages. If I did, I probably would, but I don't. I will award attorney's fees -- well, I will direct Mr. -- oh god, I will --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

MR. BURKE: Burke.

THE COURT: -- Mr. Burke to get -- oh, that's awful. I will direct Mr. Burke to file an application for attorneys' fees, which you certainly can respond to, and I'm awarding damages of 1,000 -- well, emotional distress are the main damages. The debtor also had some damages in using gas and spending time related to getting to Mr. Burke's office, those damages are allowed.

The debtor testified, again un-rebutted, concerning emotional distress they had as a result of the calls and the letters they were giving. They testified that it was causing them emotional distress, that their marriage was threatened. They had corroborating testimony from a friend and a neighbor who testified to the same things, to the distress and the problems with the marriage that the debtor was undergoing. That also went un-rebutted by Ocwen. I'm awarding \$1,000 per letter and \$1,000 per phone call as emotional distress damages, together with whatever attorneys' fees I will allow.

Mr. Burke, you would please prepare an order consistent with your post-judgment briefing and this order.

We'll be in recess.

MR. BURKE: Thank you.

THE CLERK: All rise.

(Recess taken at 3:16 p.m.)

(Proceedings resume at 3:23 p.m.)

1 THE CLERK: All rise. THE COURT: Everyone please have a seat again. 2 3 Mr. Payne, I understand you had a question and you 4 | had the phone on mute. We're having phone trouble with you 5 today, I'm sorry. 6 MR. PAYNE: Yes. My apologies, Your Honor, for making you come back on the bench. 8 I just wanted to ask for some clarification with respect to the damages. 10 THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. PAYNE: It was my understanding that you did not award punitive, you awarded attorneys' fees to be submitted by 12 13 Mr. Burke and --14 THE COURT: I'm award --15 MR. PAYNE: -- we would have the opportunity to 16 respond to his attorneys' fees. And then for emotional distress, I believe I heard \$1,000 for the letters and \$1,000 18 for the phone calls, but I'm --19 THE COURT: No. 20 MR. PAYNE: -- and then for compensatory for gas and 21 time, I'm not sure if I heard an actual figure. 22 THE COURT: But it was \$1,000 per phone call and 23 \$1,000 per letter, plus out-of-pocket expenses. 24 MR. PAYNE: And in your findings, Your Honor, how 25 many letters did you specifically find, and how many phone

calls are you specifically finding in your findings of fact? THE COURT: A hundred phone calls and -- I'm finding 2 3 18 letters. One was -- one of the letters wasn't from this case, one of the letters was an inaccurate letter saying the house has been paid off, and another one was a letter saying -actually, 19 letters. Because the letter saying --7 MR. PAYNE: Nineteen letters and 100 phone calls? 8 THE COURT: Yeah. The one that said -- Exhibit G, the one that said the loan was paid in full, I don't think was 10 -- I think it was just an error. 11 MR. PAYNE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MR. BURKE: Thank you. 14 THE COURT: We'll be in recess. 15 (Proceedings concluded at 3:25 p.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATION

I, Lisa Luciano, court-approved transcriber, hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

LISA LUCIANO, AAERT NO. 327 DATE: June 30, 2016

ACCESS TRANSCRIPTS, LLC